9-9026

1001

OGG 7-1907

OGC Has Reviewed

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH:

Deputy Director (Intelligence)

SUBJECT:

Exchange of Intelligence Information

- 1. This memorandum contains recommendations for approval of the Director in paragraph 3.
- 2. The attached memorandum (TAB A) is a detailed review of the history and present status of the exchange of information under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. To summarise there has been liberalisation both statutory in the 1954 amendments to the Act and through administrative action. However, from our point of view the limitations are overly restrictive due to the Commission's interpretations of the Act and the necessity for Commission review of each exchange. The Department of Defense also desires liberalisation and is considering both freer interpretation by the Commission and legislation.
- lative amendment, which was made to the Secretaries of State and Defense, is all right insofar as it goes but does not help our particular problem. I recommend that you sign the attached reply (TAB B) to the 13 November letter from the Chairman of the Commission, requesting the opportunity to be represented at any meetings on legislative action. In any subsequent discussions and negotiations I recommend that we seek legislative language specifying areas of information in which CIA would be free to exchange without the necessity for Atomic Energy Commission review. Specifically we should seek language providing for:

5X3TAT

The Carlot of Street and the Carlot of Street

Approved For Release 2003/04/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000100160062-0

STA

STAT

		.

Att-Tabs A & B
(Tab B-TS 140025-Sep. Cover)
CONCUR

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel

Step. 1

ROBERT AMORY, JR.
Deputy Director (Intelligence)

The recommendations in paragraph 3 are approved

ALLEN W. DULLES
Director

OGC:LRH:jeb
cct/DCI
DDCI
ER
DD/I
AD/SI
Legislative Counsel
General Counsel

Approved For Release 2003/04/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000100160062-0

OGC 7-19076

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THR OUGH:

Deputy Director (intelligence)

SUBJECT:

Exchange of Intelligence Information

- 1. This memorandum is for information only.
- 2. You inquired as to the history of legislation affecting the interchange of intelligence information with foreign countries and the present status in the light of proposals to cooperate more closely.

 The only intelligence information affected directly by statute is "restricted data" in the atomic energy field. All other intelligence information is controlled by Administration policy set forth in Executive Orders, Executive Directives, National Security Council papers, and subordinate controls.
- 3. Under the original Atomic Energy Act of 1946 there could be no exchange of information with other nations with respect to the use of stemic energy even for industrial purposes until the Congress declared by joint resolution that effective and enforceable international safeguards against the use of atomic energy for destructive purposes had been established. The definition of "restricted data" included the use of fiscionable material for the production of power unless the Atomic Energy Commission determined that any data could be published without adversely affecting the common defense and security. The practical effect of these provisions was to prevent any exchange of information with any foreign power unless the Commission determined that it could be published. The Central Intelligence Agency felt that

STAT

STAT

concerning the atomic energy programs of other nations. At the same time the Department of Defense was of the epinion that there would be not value to this country in exchange of data relating to the military utilization of atomic weapons.

4. A completely new act known as the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 replaced the 1946 act and contained provisions designed to facilitate an appropriate enchange of information with foreign countries. Section 144 provides for communication to other nations of "restricted data" in certain limited categories and only after elaborate formalities are complied with, including the authorization of the President and the negotiation of an agreement for cooperation with the nation or regional defense organization concerned, which agreement must be submitted to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy for a period of 30 days while Congress is in coasion.

Section 142d provides for the removal from the "restricted data" category of such data as the Commission and the Department of Defense jointly determine relate primarily to the military utilisation of atomic weapons and which the Commission and the Department of Defense jointly determine can be adequately safeguarded as defense information. However, no such data so removed can be given to any nation or regional defense organization while it remains defense information except in those cases where an agreement for cooperation has been entered into as outlined above.

OGC

FOIAB5

FOIAB5

- 5. As a practical matter, I am informed that all of these exchange authorities are lumped into one procedure which for exchange purposes with foreign nations passes normally through the Muclear Energy Division of our Office of Scientific Intelligence.

 Under this handling all the information involved, whether military or under our authority, must be checked with the Atomic Energy Commission to determine if it encroaches upon nonreleasable restricted data" of which they are the sole judge. Considerable time delays have been encountered in this procedure and the Atomic Energy Commission has interpreted the above agreement so rigidly as to governly restrict the exchange of information.
- 6. We have received a copy of the Atomic Energy Commission's proposal to State and Defense for a change in section 144b of the Atomic Energy Act, which the Commission states is designed to meet the National Security Council's action for revision of the act with a view to facilitating increased sharing with selected allies of scientific information. The Commission's proposal adds two categories to the "restricted data" which can be exchanged under section 144b by the Department of Defense. These have to do with the design or fabrication of atomic weapons and the military applications of atomic energy including research and development. The existent section 144b severely limited exchange of information on Cusign or Inbrigation of atomic weapons and required joint judgment of the Commission and the Department of Defense, so the Commission's proposal does liberalise exchange of "restricted data" and requires consultation with the Commission on the new entegories rather than joint judgment between Defense and the Commission. The cooperation still must be undertaken pursuant to an agreement entered into in accordance with section 123 of the act.

7. This Atomic Energy Commission proposal has little direct effect on the CIA exchange of information but by increasing the area for exchange by Defense it may well interpose the amount of information CIA would have to clear with Defense the amount of information, Defense intends to approach this matter both by a more liberal interpretation of the present law and by subsequent changes to the law. Defense believes there is sufficient intitude in the present wording of the law to permit most of the desired exchanges of information if the Commission chooses, on the basis of new policy, to relax its present rules on

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT STAT

STAT

·		
Mimag	tant" weapon design date. The Commission may not agree	
About 14b	eralisation can be achieved by interpretation of the present	
CHART TIE	AEG and Defense may agree that an amendment of the act	
agt, or	be desirable as an evert demonstration of our desire for	
change	s may be requested during the \$5th Congress.	
	8. The legical places for change would be in sections	
142d an	ed e, which provide for the removal of information from	
the "re	estricted data" category on the joint determination of the	•
Commi	ission and Defense or the Commission and the Director of	
Centra	l intelligence. From our point of view any such change	
	allow CIA to transmit data without specific approval in each	
	se by the AEC. The Deputy Director (Intelligence) does not	
recomi	mend Agency action to obtain more liberal interpretation of	
the pre	sent law by a new joint agreement with the AEC under	STAT
	142e. A proposal is pending before the Commission that	01711
	permit a limited exchange of data	
portine	ent to the measurement of the yields of Soviet tests. This	STAT
would:	meet the immediate needs of the Deputy Director (Intelligence))
	ey can concurrently obtain the benefit of any more liberal	
interpr	retation of the law obtained by the Department of Defense.	
	9. The Deputy Director (Intelligence) feels, and I agree,	
	ir past experience demonstrates that the exchange for intel-	
-	purposes hould not be left to joint determination with the	
	ission and us and that the law should be amended to give	
	guidelines so that CIA and Defense can release information	
	t time-consuming AEC review. This could be done by	
	ising a procedure that would permit CIA or Defense to	
	a given category of information that the AEC wants and	
	release similar information subsequently on its own	
	ity. You have here, however, somewhat the same problem	
	ard to how AEC would interpret the word similar. I believe	
	ld be preferable if we could specify:the areas of information	
	act itself. The DD/I suggests that changes which would be	
consis	tent with the intended broadened cooperation	O= 4 =
	should materially increase the validity of Agency	STAT
estima	ites would provide for:	
	4	

Approved For Release 2003/04/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000100160062-0

Approved For Release 2003/04/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000100160062-0

STAT

SIUNED

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel

. 7

TAB B - TS 140025 - UNDER SEPARATE COVER

orgo	oved For Release	2003/0	04/25 : CIΔ-R	DP88 E	335 7-31	R000	100160	
		CK CLAS	SIFICATION T	IAL	S	ECRET		
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENT	ENCY			1	
		AL INTE	LLIGENCE AGI	ENCI CT TD	,		- 1	
	OFFI(TIAL !	ROUTING			DATE		
	OFFIC		FSS	INITIA	LS	DATE		
TO	NAME AN	D ADDRI			1		- 1	
	Mr. Hous	TON				1	\neg	
1	CHI. HOU			Vfm		12/10	1	
	ER			177		1		
2				1	1			
3				 			1	
ــــــا								
4				 			1	
-	-			1				
5					_	1	1	
-				1		DEDLY		
6	·		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION			
T	ACTION		DISPATCH				BATTON	
	APPROVAL		FILE		RETURN SIGNATURE		l	
	COMMENT		INFORMATION		SIGNAL	UNL]
	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNAL			
E	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNAL			
	COMMENT CONCURRENCE Remarks:		INFORMATION		SIGNAT	<u> </u>		
	CONCURRENCE Remarks:							
	CONCURRENCE Remarks:	The		agree	e with	n the		
	CONCURRENCE Remarks:		e Director		s with	n the		
	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto	ents o - Stra	e Director f your proj uss but sa	id he	s with	n the r to pref		
	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto	ents o s Stra	e Director f your propuss but sai	id he his tl	s with lette would arough	n the r to pref	mor,	
	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto	ents o s Stra	e Director f your propuss but sai	id he his tl	s with lette would arough	n the r to pref	mor,	
	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto Lewi to h	ents o s Stra ave yo furthe	e Director f your propuss but sai u handle to	id he his th aftin	s with lette would arough	n the r to pref	mor,	
1	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto Lewi to h	ents o s Stra ave yo furthe	e Director f your propuss but sai u handle to	id he his th aftin	s with lette would arough	n the r to pref	mor,	
	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry cont Levi to h and form	ents of strage s	e Director f your propuss but sain u handle ther, if a Dressibly we continued to the contin	id he his th aftin ould he hice an	s with lette would become gle."	n the r to pref Tray up is	mor,	
	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry cont Levi to h and form	ents of strage s	e Director f your propuss but sain u handle ther, if a Dressibly we continued to the contin	id he his th aftin ould he hice an	s with lette would become gle."	n the r to pref Tray up is	mor,	l.
ī	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry cont Levi to h and form	ents of strage s	e Director f your propuss but sain u handle ther, if a Dressibly we continued to the contin	id he his th aftin ould he hice an	s with lette would become gle."	n the r to pref Tray up is	mor,	d.
]	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto Lewi to h	ents of stransverse stransvers	e Director f your propuss but said handle ther, if a Dressibly we controllinger.	id he his the afting ould he an	s with lette would become gle."	n the r to pref Tray	mor,	el.
1	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry cont Levi to h and form	ents of stransverse stransvers	e Director f your propuss but said handle ther, if a Dressibly we controllinger.	id he his the afting ould he an	s with lette would become gle."	n the r to pref Tray	mor,	
-	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto Lewi to h and form from	ents of strategy of the strate	e Director f your propuss but said handle to er, if a Dresibly we continued to the said of	id he his that afting ould he are and december of the history of t	s with lette would be come gle."	n the r to pref Tray	mor,	
]	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto Lewi to h and form from	ents of strategy of the strate	e Director f your propuss but samu handle to er, if a Dressibly we controllinger. The section of the section o	id he his the afting ould he and he a	s with lette would be come gle."	n the r to pref Tray	mor,	
-	CONCURRENCE Remarks: Larry conto Lewi to h and form from	ents of strategy of the strate	e Director f your propuss but samu handle to reference to return to the property of the proper	id he his the afting ould he and he a	s with lette would be come gle."	n the r to pref Tray	mor,	